

Kernels in Functional Data Analysis

Ruoxu Tan

January 22, 2025

This note collects a few basic concepts and results on different but related topics in functional data analysis where “kernels” play a fundamental role. This note can be used as a first glance before learning the related method and theory in detail. There are many different kernels in machine learning. For example, in the kernel regression, a kernel is typically a symmetric density. Besides, in the kernel support vector machines, a kernel is a bivariate nonlinear mapping from the feature space to a higher dimensional space. The kernel discussed in this note is more closely related to the latter, but we focus on the kernel-induced functional space, which is different from the kernel support vector machines.

1 Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space

We start from introducing the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) following [Berlinet and Thomas-Agnan \(2011\)](#). Let E be an abstract non-empty set. Consider a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of real-valued functions defined on E endowed with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. In the kernel support vector machines, E is the d -dim Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d where covariates lie in. However, in this note, we mainly concern E as a compact interval, say $[0, 1]$.

Definition 1. *A bivariate function $K : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a reproducing kernel if (1) $\forall t \in E, K(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{H}$; and (2) $\forall t \in E$ and $\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \langle h(\cdot), K(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = h(t)$.*

The (2) property above is the so-called “reproducing property”: the value of h at t is reproduced by the inner product of h and the kernel induced function $K(\cdot, t)$. Since $K(\cdot, s)$ itself is a function in \mathcal{H} by (1), we deduce from (2) that $\langle K(\cdot, s), K(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = K(t, s) = K(s, t)$. A Hilbert space of real-valued functions which possesses a reproducing kernel is called a RKHS.

Example 1. The Hilbert space $L^2([a, b])$ is *not* a RKHS, because there is no reproducing kernel satisfying

$$\int_{[a,b]} K(s, t)h(s) ds = h(t), \quad \forall h \in L^2([a, b]);$$

see Example 3 in Chapter 1 of [Berlinet and Thomas-Agnan \(2011\)](#) for details. The example shows that for any kernel on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$, the spanned RKHS is a strict subspace of $L^2([a, b])$.

To give a first characterization of a RKHS, we need the concept of continuous or bounded functional. We say a functional $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that if $\|h_1 - h_2\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \delta$ then $|f(h_1) - f(h_2)| < \epsilon$. We say a functional $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded if $\exists M > 0$ such that $|f(h)| \leq M\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$. A well known property of a *linear* functional is that continuity is equivalent to boundedness. For any $t \in E$, let $e_t : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the evaluation functional at t : $e_t(h) = h(t)$, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. It is easy to see that the evaluation functional is linear.

The Riesz representation theorem tells us that for any continuous linear functional f , there exist a function $g_f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f(h) = \langle h, g_f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. An application of the Riesz representation theorem leads to the following result.

Theorem 1. *A Hilbert space of real-valued functions on E has a reproducing kernel if and only if all the evaluation functionals $e_t, t \in E$, are continuous.*

Proof outline. (\Rightarrow) The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied on $e_t(h) = \langle h, K(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. (\Leftarrow) The Riesz representation theorem applied on e_t . \square

Next, we discuss a basic characterization of a reproducing kernel.

Definition 2 (Positive type function). *A bivariate function $K : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive type function if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j K(t_i, t_j) \geq 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1, a_i \in \mathbb{R}, t_i \in E.$$

For any reproducing kernel K , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j K(t_i, t_j) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i K(t_i, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \geq 0,$$

implying that K is positive type. The converse is the famous Moore-Aronszajn theorem.

Theorem 2 (Moore-Aronszajn). *Let K be a positive type function on $E \times E$. There exists a unique RKHS \mathcal{H} of functions on E with K as the reproducing kernel. Specifically, \mathcal{H} is spanned by the functions $\{K(\cdot, t)\}_{t \in E}$ with the inner product*

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \beta_j K(y_j, x_i),$$

where $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i K(\cdot, x_i)$ and $g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j K(\cdot, y_j)$ are the Cauchy sequences.

An important representation theorem of a continuous symmetric positive type function is the famous Mercer theorem.

Theorem 3 (Mercer). *Let K be a continuous symmetric positive type function on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$. There exists an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $L^2([a, b])$ such that*

$$K(s, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t),$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ are called the eigenvalues of K , and ϕ_j are called the eigenfunctions of K .

A continuous symmetric positive type function is referred as a Mercer kernel. For any Mercer kernel $K : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we can associate it with a linear operator $K : L^2([a, b]) \rightarrow L^2([a, b])$ defined by $K(\phi_j)(t) = \int_a^b K(s, t) \phi_j(s) ds$. The first application of the Mercer theorem is the following example.

Example 2 (RKHS generated by a Mercer kernel). Let K be a Mercer kernel on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$, then there exists a unique RKHS \mathcal{H} of functions on $[a, b]$ with K as the reproducing kernel by the Moore-Aronszajn theorem. According to the Mercer theorem, we can express K by

$$K(s, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \phi_j(s) \phi_j(t), \quad \forall s, t \in [a, b],$$

where $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2([a, b])$. Next, we try to find an expression of the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ of \mathcal{H} in terms of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$, the inner product of $L^2([a, b])$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we can write $f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle_{L^2} \phi_j(t)$. Using the reproducing property and the expression of K above, we have $f(t) = \langle f(\cdot), K(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} =$

$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle f, \phi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \phi_j(t)$. It follows that for all j , $\langle f, \phi_j \rangle_{L^2} = \lambda_j \langle f, \phi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. Now, for any $g \in \mathcal{H}$, we write $g(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, \phi_j \rangle_{L^2} \phi_j(t)$, then we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle g, \phi_j \rangle_{L^2} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f, \phi_j \rangle_{L^2} \langle g, \phi_j \rangle_{L^2}}{\lambda_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the RKHS generated by K is $H = \{f \in L^2[a, b]; \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f, \phi_j \rangle_{L^2}^2}{\lambda_j} < \infty\}$, which is a strict subspace of $L^2[a, b]$, confirming the argument in Example 1.

Now we are able to introduce the random element in functional data analysis. Given a sample space Ω , let X be a measurable mapping from Ω to \mathcal{H} , where \mathcal{H} is a RKHS of functions on a compact interval E . The random element X is the random variable of interest in functional data analysis, also known as the random function/process on E , the functional datum, the functional variable, etc. In fact, the set E is allowed to not be a compact interval, which leads to a general functional variable. When the set E is restrictive to a compact interval, the corresponding X is sometimes referred to as a one-dimensional functional variable. As we only discuss one-dimensional functional data in this note, we omit the term ‘‘one-dimensional’’.

To study the random function X , just similar to what we learned in elementary statistics, we focus on the first two moments of X . Here, the moments also become functions introducing obscurity indeed. The first moment function of X , the mean function $m = E(X)$, is relatively easy to understand, while the centered second moment function of X , the covariance function $C_X(s, t) = E\{X(s)X(t)\} - E\{X(s)\}E\{X(t)\}$ is more difficult and more important to be investigated. We assume that X has finite second moment, i.e., $\sup_{t \in E} C_X(t, t) < \infty$, under which X is referred to as a second order random process.

Remark 1. *In some literature, it is occasional to define the covariance function as $E\{X(s)X(t)\}$, i.e., the second moment. The covariance operator defined below needs to be modified accordingly. Both definitions are useful under certain applications.*

We first introduce a crucial concept related to the covariance function.

Definition 3 (Covariance operator). *The covariance operator $C_X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of X is defined as $C_X(h) = E\{\langle X - m, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}(X - m)\}$.*

Definition 4 (Kernel of an operator). *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space of functions defined on E , and let u be an operator in \mathcal{H} . A function $U : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a kernel of u if (1) $\forall t \in E, U(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{H}$; and (2) $\forall t \in E, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, u(h)(t) = \langle U(\cdot, t), h(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$.*

Remark 2. *The kernel of an operator here is a slight generalization to the Mercer kernel and its associated operator defined below Theorem 3, in the sense that the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ here can be different from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$.*

The covariance operator C_X is self-adjoint, positive, continuous and compact. The covariance function $C_X : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the covariance operator $C_X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ use the same notation as a common slight abuse of notation. Because $\forall t \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_X(h)(t) &= E[\langle X - m, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \{X(t) - m(t)\}] \\ &= \langle E[\{X(\cdot) - m(\cdot)\} \{X(t) - m(t)\}], h(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle C_X(\cdot, t), h(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that the covariance function is the kernel of the covariance operator. Further, by taking $h(\cdot) = K(\cdot, s), \forall s \in E$, the reproducing kernel, we conclude

$$C_X(s, t) = [C_X\{K(\cdot, s)\}](t).$$

The second application of the Mercer theorem is applying it on the covariance function C_X , which yields the Karhunen–Loève expansion of X , the foundation of the functional principal component analysis.

Theorem 4 (Karhunen–Loève). *Let X be a second order random process on $[a, b]$, then the following representation of X holds,*

$$X(t) = m(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \phi_j(t),$$

where ϕ_j are the eigenfunctions of C_X (also orthonormal bases of $L^2([a, b])$), ξ_j are mean zero and variance λ_j uncorrelated random variables. The values $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ are the eigenvalues of C_X .

The descending order of λ_j is crucial to the functional principal component analysis, because it implies that the truncation to the first d principal components $X_d(t) = m(t) + \sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j \phi_j(t)$ capture the most variability of X , i.e., minimal information is lost.

2 Functional Regression

2.1 Function-to-scalar Linear Regression Using RKHS

We follow [Yuan and Cai \(2010\)](#) to introduce the RKHS technique used in function-to-scalar linear regression. Let $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ be an i.i.d. copy of (X, Y) , where $X = X(\cdot)$ is a second order random process on a compact interval \mathcal{T} and Y is a scalar variable satisfying

$$Y = \alpha_0 + \int_{\mathcal{T}} X(t)\beta_0(t) dt + \epsilon.$$

Here α_0 is the intercept, β_0 is the slope function and ϵ is the noise variable satisfying $E(\epsilon) = 0$ and $E(\epsilon^2) < \infty$. The key assumption is that the slope function β_0 lies in a RKHS \mathcal{H} .

The method of regularization to estimate α_0 and β_0 is given by

$$(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = \underset{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ Y_i - \alpha - \int_{\mathcal{T}} X_i(t)\beta(t) dt \right\}^2 + \lambda J(\beta), \quad (1)$$

where $J(\beta) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \{\beta^{(m)}(t)\}^2 dt$ with $\beta^{(m)}$ denoting the m -th order derivative of β . With this choice of the penalty $J(\beta)$, the RKHS \mathcal{H} is in fact the m -order Sobolev space $W_2^m(\mathcal{T})$ defined as

$$W_2^m(\mathcal{T}) = \left\{ \beta : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \beta, \dots, \beta^{(m-1)} \text{ are absolutely continuous and } \beta^{(m)} \in L^2(\mathcal{T}) \right\},$$

where the norm is given by

$$\|\beta\|_{W_2^m}^2 = \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \beta^{(q)} \right)^2 + \int_{\mathcal{T}} (\beta^{(m)})^2.$$

The most appealing property of the RKHS estimator given in (1) is that the minimization problem in (1) has a closed-form solution. It is easy to see that

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{Y} - \int_{\mathcal{T}} \bar{X}(t)\hat{\beta}(t) dt, \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/n$ and $\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i/n$. Next, we derive $\hat{\beta}$.

Consider the null space \mathcal{H}_0 of the penalty functional J ,

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{ \beta \in \mathcal{H} : J(\beta) = 0 \},$$

which is a finite-dimensional linear subspace of \mathcal{H} with the orthonormal basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N\}$. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be its orthogonal complement such that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique decomposition $f = f_0 + f_1$, where $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Note that \mathcal{H}_1 is also a RKHS with the inner product of \mathcal{H} restricted to \mathcal{H}_1 . Letting K be the reproducing kernel of \mathcal{H}_1 , we have, for any $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $J(f_1) = \|f_1\|_K^2 = \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$. We assume that K is continuous and positive-type, i.e., a Mercer kernel. As noted below Theorem 3, K is also a linear operator given by

$$(Kf)(t) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} K(t, s)f(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T}.$$

It is known that $Kf \in \mathcal{H}_1$, for any $f \in L^2$. Also, note that, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle Kf, \beta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle K(\cdot, s), \beta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} f(s) ds = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \beta(s)f(s) ds.$$

The observations above lead to the following important representer theorem, which is a generalization of the representer lemma for smoothing splines.

Theorem 5. *The estimator $\hat{\beta}$ has the following finite-dimensional representation,*

$$\hat{\beta} = \sum_{k=1}^N d_k \xi_k(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (KX_i)(t),$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_N \in \mathbb{R}$.

With $\hat{\alpha}$ given at (2), $\hat{\beta}$ in (1) can be written by

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathcal{H}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ Y_i - \bar{Y} - \int_{\mathcal{T}} \{X_i(t) - \bar{X}(t)\} \beta(t) dt \right\}^2 + \lambda J(\beta) \right]. \quad (3)$$

Consider the case $\mathcal{H} = W_2^2$ and thus $J(\beta) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \{\beta^{(2)}(t)\}^2 dt$. It follows that \mathcal{H}_0 is spanned by $\{\xi_1(t) = 1, \xi_2(t) = t\}$. A popular reproducing kernel K of \mathcal{H}_1 is given by

$$K(s, t) = \frac{1}{4} B_2(s) B_2(t) - \frac{1}{4!} B_4(|s - t|).$$

Using Theorem 5, we have

$$\hat{\beta} = d_1 + d_2 t + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \int_{\mathcal{T}} \{X_i(s) - \bar{X}(s)\} K(t, s) ds.$$

Letting $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)^\top$, $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2)^\top$, the minimization problem in (3) is equivalent to

$$(\hat{\mathbf{c}}, \hat{\mathbf{d}}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y} - (T\mathbf{d} + \Sigma\mathbf{c})\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{c}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{c},$$

where $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1 - \bar{Y}, \dots, Y_n - \bar{Y})^\top$, T is an $n \times 2$ matrix with the (i, j) -th entry given by

$$T_{ij} = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \{X_i(t) - \bar{X}(t)\} t^{j-1} dt,$$

and Σ is an $n \times n$ matrix with the (i, j) -th entry given by

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \{X_i(s) - \bar{X}(s)\} K(s, t) \{X_i(t) - \bar{X}(t)\} ds dt.$$

The weighted least squared problem for $(\hat{\mathbf{c}}, \hat{\mathbf{d}})$ above has the following explicit solution,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{d}} &= (T^\top W^{-1} T)^{-1} T^\top W^{-1} \mathbf{Y}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{c}} &= W^{-1} \{I_n - T(T^\top W^{-1} T)^{-1} T^\top W^{-1}\} \mathbf{Y}, \end{aligned}$$

where $W = \Sigma + n\lambda I_n$ with I_n the n -order identity matrix.

2.2 Function-to-function Nonlinear Regression Using RKHS

In this subsection, we follow [Kadri et al. \(2016\)](#) to introduce the function-to-function nonlinear regression using RKHS. Here the function-to-function operator is assumed to lie in a RKHS of operators, i.e., function-valued functions. Such generalizations require further technical development as well as computational algorithms.

2.2.1 RKHS of Operators

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x(\cdot) : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{y(\cdot) : \mathcal{T}_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ be the Hilbert spaces of real-valued functions where the random processes X and Y are valued in. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$ denote the set of bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. To investigate the RKHS of operators, we first define operator-related concepts.

Definition 5 (adjoint, self-adjoint, and positive operators). *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$, then*

(1) A^* , the adjoint operator of A , is the unique operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$ that satisfies

$$\langle Ay, z \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = \langle y, A^* z \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}, \forall y, z \in \mathcal{Y};$$

(2) A is self-adjoint if $A = A^*$;

(3) A is positive if it is self-adjoint and $\forall y \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\langle Ay, y \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} \geq 0$;

(4) A is larger than or equal to $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$, if $A - B$ is positive, i.e., $\forall y \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\langle Ay, y \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} \geq \langle By, y \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Definition 6 (Operator-valued kernel). *An $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$ -valued kernel K on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is*

- (1) *Hermitian if $K(w, z) = K(z, w)^*$, where $K(\cdot, \cdot)^*$ denotes the adjoint operator;*
- (2) *nonnegative on \mathcal{X} if it is Hermitian and $\forall n \geq 1, w_i \in \mathcal{X}, u_i \in \mathcal{Y}$, the $n \times n$ matrix $\langle K(w_i, w_j)u_i, u_j \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is positive-definite.*

Now we are able to define a function-valued RKHS.

Definition 7 (Function-valued RKHS). *A Hilbert space \mathcal{F} of functions from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} is called a RKHS if there is a nonnegative $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$ -valued kernel K on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ such that:*

- (1) *the function $z \mapsto K(w, z)g$ belongs to \mathcal{F} , $\forall z, w \in \mathcal{X}$ and $g \in \mathcal{Y}$;*
- (2) *$\forall F \in \mathcal{F}$, $w \in \mathcal{X}$ and $g \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\langle F, K(w, \cdot)g \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle F(w), g \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}$.*

If the reproducing kernel K is locally bounded and separately continuous, we call it a Mercer kernel. The following theorem is an extension of the Moore-Aronszajn theorem to the case of function-valued RKHS.

Theorem 6. *A $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$ -valued Mercer kernel K on \mathcal{X}^2 is the reproducing kernel of some Hilbert space F if and only if it is nonnegative.*

Although we have defined the operator-valued kernel, it remains to explicitly design a few operator-valued kernels. To this end, we first present a result that produces new kernels by combining existing ones.

Theorem 7. *Let H and G be two nonnegative operator-valued kernels from $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$, then*

- (1) *$K = H + G$ is a nonnegative kernel;*
- (2) *if $H(w, z)G(w, z) = G(w, z)H(w, z)$, $\forall w, z \in \mathcal{X}$, then $K = HG$ is a nonnegative kernel;*
- (3) *$K = THT^*$ is a nonnegative kernel for any $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$.*

As for application to functional data, consider \mathcal{Y} as the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{T})$ of square integrable functions on a compact interval \mathcal{T} endowed with the usual inner product $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \phi(t)\psi(t) dt$. Next, we present three examples of operator-valued kernels.

1. (Multiplication operator) For any $h \in \mathcal{Y}$, a multiplication operator T^h on \mathcal{Y} is defined as $T^h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, $T^h(y)(t) = h(t)y(t)$, $\forall t \in \mathcal{T}$. The associated operator-valued kernel K is defined as $K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$,

$K(x_1, x_2)(y)(\cdot) = k_x(x_1, x_2)T^{k_y}(y)(\cdot)$, where $k_x : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive definite scalar-valued kernel and k_y is a positive real function. It is easy to see that K is Hermitian and positive.

2. (Hilbert-Schmidt integral operator) A Hilbert-Schmidt integral operator T^h associated with a kernel $h : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as: $T^h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, $T^h(y)(t) = \int h(s, t)y(s) ds, \forall t \in \mathcal{T}$. An operator-valued kernel K associated with positive kernels $k_x : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $k_y : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$, $K(x_1, x_2)(y)(\cdot) = k_x(x_1, x_2) \int k_y(s, \cdot)f(s) ds$. If k_y is Hermitian, then K is also Hermitian.
3. (Composition operator) Let $\phi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be an analytic map, the associated composition operator $C_\phi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is defined as $C_\phi(y) = y \circ \phi$. In the case that \mathcal{Y} is a RKHS with the kernel k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, C_\phi^*k(t, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} &= \langle C_\phi(f), k(t, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = \langle f \circ \phi, k(t, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} = f(\phi(t)) \\ &= \langle f, k(\phi(t), \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}, \end{aligned}$$

implying that $C_\phi^*k(t, \cdot) = k(\phi(t), \cdot)$. Similarly, we have $C_\phi^*(f)(t) = \langle f, k(t, \phi(\cdot)) \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Once a composition operator and its adjoint operator are well expressed in a RKHS, we can define a operator-valued kernel $K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$ as $K(x_1, x_2) = C_{\phi(x_1)}C_{\phi(x_2)}^*$, where $\phi(x_1)$ and $\phi(x_2)$ are analytic maps from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{T} . Using Theorem 7 (3), we see that K is nonnegative.

2.2.2 Function-valued Functional Learning

Consider the function-to-function regression of estimating $F(x) = E(Y|X = x)$ from observed data $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$, where $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} = L^2(\Omega_x) \times L^2(\Omega_y)$, the Hilbert spaces of square integrable functions on Ω_x and Ω_y .

The estimator of F via the method of regularization is defined as

$$\tilde{F}_\lambda = \operatorname{argmin}_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|y_i - F(x_i)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 + \lambda \|F\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a regularization parameter.

In the case that \mathcal{F} is a real-valued RKHS, the solution of the minimization problem above has the following form,

$$\tilde{F}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i K(x_i, x),$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and K is the reproducing kernel. An extension to the case of function-valued RKHS leads to the following form,

$$\tilde{F}(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i, \cdot) u_i,$$

where $u_i(\cdot) \in \mathcal{Y}$ are functions and K is the nonnegative operator-valued reproducing kernel. Plugging the expression above into (4), we obtain the following minimization problem over n scalar-valued functions $u_i \in \mathcal{Y}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda &= (\tilde{u}_{\lambda 1}, \dots, \tilde{u}_{\lambda n})^\top \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{Y}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n K(x_i, x_j) u_j\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle K(x_i, x_j) u_i, u_j \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting the directional derivative of \mathbf{u} above to zero yields that the vector of functions $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$ satisfies the following system of linear operator equations,

$$(\mathbf{K} + \lambda I) \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{y},$$

where $\mathbf{K} = [K(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ is a $n \times n$ block operator kernel matrix and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^\top$.

To overcome the problem of finding the inverse of the block operator kernel matrix \mathbf{K} , we assume that the operator-valued kernel K has the following form,

$$K(x_i, x_j) = g(x_i, x_j) T,$$

where $g : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar-valued kernel and T is a linear operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$. For example, if T is a integral operator with the kernel $e^{-|t-s|}$, then

$$K(x_i, x_j)(y)(t) = g(x_i, x_j) \int_{\Omega_y} e^{-|t-s|} y(s) ds,$$

for $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. It follows that the block operator kernel matrix \mathbf{K} can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} g(x_1, x_1)T & \cdots & g(x_1, x_n)T \\ & \cdots & \\ g(x_n, x_1)T & \cdots & g(x_n, x_n)T \end{pmatrix} = G \otimes T,$$

where $G = [g(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$. Using the basic property of the Kronecker product, we obtain that $\mathbf{K}^{-1} = G^{-1} \otimes T^{-1}$, and thus we only need to find G^{-1} and T^{-1} .

The inversion of $n \times n$ matrix G can be expressed by $G^{-1} = \Gamma V^\top$, where $V = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ consists of eigenvectors v_i of G , and $\Gamma = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_n^{-1})$

with α_i the eigenvalue of G . In terms of inversion of a linear operator T , we utilize the result that if T is compact and normal, then

$$T(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i \langle y, \omega_i \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} \omega_i,$$

where δ_i and ω_i are referred to as the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of T . It follows that $T^{-1}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i^{-1} \langle y, \omega_i \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}} \omega_i$. For fixed G , $\mathbf{K} + \lambda I$ can also be seen as an operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$. Therefore, to compute $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{K} + \lambda I)^{-1} \mathbf{y}$, we fix a truncation parameter κ , and obtain

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n\kappa} (\theta_i + \lambda)^{-1} \langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}^n} \mathbf{z}_i,$$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n\kappa})^\top = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^\top \otimes (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\kappa)^\top$, $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{n\kappa})^\top = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^\top \otimes (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\kappa)^\top$ and $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}^n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle a_i, b_i \rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

3 Gaussian Measure in Hilbert Space

An obstacle to study random variables in an infinite dimensional space is that the Lebesgue measure generally does not exist. Yet, a Gaussian measure can be well defined in a separable Banach space, which can serve as an alternative to the Lebesgue measure. Therefore, a Gaussian measure, induced by a Gaussian process, plays a fundamental role in studying infinite dimensional random elements. In this section, we follow [Kuo \(1975\)](#) and [Williams and Rasmussen \(2006\)](#) to introduce the concepts of Gaussian processes particularly in a Hilbert space.

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space with norm $|\cdot| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}$. Let A be a linear operator in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 8 (Hilbert-Schmidt operator). *A linear operator A in \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if for some orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{H} ,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A(e_n)|^2 < \infty.$$

The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of A is defined as $\|A\|_2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A(e_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$.

Note that the Hilbert-Schmidt norm does not depend on the choice of $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. An example of Hilbert-Schmidt operator is the integral operator defined in [Section 2.2.1](#).

A operator in \mathcal{H} is compact if it maps any bounded subset of \mathcal{H} into a set whose closure is compact. The following expression for a self-adjoint compact operator has actually been used in [Section 2.2.2](#).

Theorem 8. *If A is a self-adjoint compact operator in \mathcal{H} , then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of \mathcal{H} such that*

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e_n,$$

where the λ_n 's and e_n 's are called the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of A . If A is positive definite, then $\lambda_n \geq 0$.

Definition 9 (Trace class operator). *A compact operator A in \mathcal{H} is called a trace class operator if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty$, where the λ_n 's are the eigenvalues of $(A^*A)^{1/2}$.*

Proposition 1. *A Hilbert-Schmidt operator is compact. An operator A is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 < \infty$, where the λ_n 's are the eigenvalues of $(A^*A)^{1/2}$. In this case, $\|A\|_2 = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2)^{1/2}$.*

If A is a trace class operator, the trace of A is defined as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle A(e_n), e_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, where $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is any orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Any trace class operator can be written as a product of two Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

For a random function X valued in \mathcal{H} , recall from Definition 3 that the covariance operator $C_X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of X is defined as $C_X(h) = E\{\langle X - m, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} (X - m)\}$. Now we define a covariance operator of a measure in \mathcal{H} . All measures considered in this section are Borel measures, i.e., measures defined on the σ -field generated by the open subsets of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 10 (Covariance operator). *For a measure μ in \mathcal{H} , the covariance operator S_μ of μ is defined such that*

$$\langle S_\mu(x), y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \langle x, z \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle y, z \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \mu(dz).$$

Remark 3. *Compared to the covariance operator of a random variable Z in Definition 3, $\langle C_Z(x), y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \langle z - m, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle z - m, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \mu_Z(dz)$, we see that Definition 10 is actually the uncentered second moment. Such difference does not affect the main idea.*

A covariance operator is necessarily positive definite and self-adjoint. If a covariance operator has finite trace, we call it a S -operator. In fact, we have trace $S_\mu = \int_{\mathcal{H}} |x|^2 \mu(dx)$.

Definition 11 (Mean). *For a measure μ in \mathcal{H} , the mean of μ is an element m_μ in \mathcal{H} such that*

$$\langle m_\mu, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \langle z, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \mu(dz).$$

Definition 12 (Characteristic functional). *The characteristic functional ϕ of a measure μ in \mathcal{H} is defined as*

$$\phi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \exp\{i\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\} \mu(dy),$$

for $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Finally, we are able to define Gaussian measure in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 13 (Gaussian measure). *A measure μ in \mathcal{H} is Gaussian if for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the measurable functional $\langle x, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is normally distributed, i.e., there exists real numbers m_x and σ_x^2 such that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$P(y \in \mathcal{H}; \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq a) = \int_{-\infty}^a \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_x^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(t - m_x)^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right\} dt.$$

Recall that the characteristic function of a \mathbb{R} -valued normal variable $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is $\psi(t) = E\{\exp(itX)\} = \exp(i\mu t - \sigma^2 t^2/2)$. Using a change of variable, we have, for a Gaussian measure μ in \mathcal{H} , its characteristic functional ϕ is given by

$$\phi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \exp\{i\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\} \mu(dy) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \exp(is) \mu_x(ds) = \psi_x(1),$$

where $\psi_x(t) = \exp(i\mu_x t - \sigma_x^2 t^2/2)$ is the characteristic function of the normal variable $X = \langle x, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \sim N(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2)$. In particular, we can show by change of variables that

$$\mu_x = \langle m_{\mu}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \sigma_x^2 = \langle S_{\mu}(x), x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

and thus the characteristic functional ϕ of the Gaussian measure μ is given by

$$\phi(x) = \exp\left\{i\langle m_{\mu}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{\langle S_{\mu}(x), x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}{2}\right\}.$$

We also conclude from the expression above that a Gaussian measure is uniquely determined by its mean and covariance operator.

To simplify exposition, we assume that \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space of functions on E and $m \equiv 0$ from now on. A random element X valued in \mathcal{H} is called a Gaussian process if the push-forward measure $\mu(X(\cdot))$ is a Gaussian measure in \mathcal{H} . Recall from Definition 4 below that the covariance function $S_X : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of X , $S_X(s, t) = E\{X(s)X(t)\}$ is associated with the covariance operator through

$$S_X(h)(t) = \langle S_X(\cdot, t), h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

for $t \in E$. The bivariate function S_X is also referred as the kernel, or covariance kernel of X .

Next, we present several examples of the covariance function. A stationary covariance function is only a function of $s - t$, and an isotropic covariance function is only a function of $r = |s - t|$. The squared exponential covariance function has the following form

$$S_{\text{SE}}(r) = \exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{2\ell^2}\right),$$

where ℓ is called the characteristic length-scale. Since the squared exponential covariance function is infinite differentiable, the induced Gaussian process is very smooth, which may be unrealistic.

A less smooth class of covariance function is the Matérn class,

$$S_{\text{Matern}}(r) = \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\nu}r}{\ell}\right)^2 K_\nu\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\nu}r}{\ell}\right),$$

where $\nu > 0, \ell > 0$ are parameters and K_ν is a modified Bessel function. The induced Gaussian process with the Matérn class covariance function is $[\nu]$ -times mean squared differentiable. When ν is half-integer, the Matérn class covariance function has a more explicit form.

The rational quadratic covariance function has the following form,

$$S_{\text{RQ}}(r) = \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{2\alpha\ell^2}\right)^{-\alpha},$$

where α and $\ell > 0$ are parameters. It can be seen as a scale mixture (an infinite sum) of squared exponential covariance functions with different characteristic length-scales. The covariance functions mentioned above are all stationary and non-degenerate, while other non-stationary covariance functions include polynomial and neural network classes; see [Williams and Rasmussen \(2006, Ch. 4.2\)](#) for details.

References

- Berlinet, A. and Thomas-Agnan, C. (2011). *Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces in probability and statistics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Kadri, H., Duflos, E., Preux, P., Canu, S., Rakotomamonjy, A., and Audiffren, J. (2016). Operator-valued kernels for learning from functional response data. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(20):1–54.
- Kuo, H.-H. (1975). *Gaussian measures in Banach spaces*. Springer.

Williams, C. K. and Rasmussen, C. E. (2006). *Gaussian processes for machine learning*, volume 2. MIT press Cambridge, MA.

Yuan, M. and Cai, T. T. (2010). A reproducing kernel hilbert space approach to functional linear regression. *The Annals of Statistics*, 38(1):3412–3444.